ISSN: 3143-8245

Wissen PUBLICATION GROUP

Journal of
Advanced Neurology and Neuroscience

Review Article

Volume: 1 | Isssue: 1 | April 2026 | Pages 01–03

Basics of Human Memory

Theofilidis Antonis

Aegean college of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

*Corresponding Author: Theofilidis Antonis, Aegean college of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece.

Submitted: 27 March 2026 **Accepted:** 16 April 2026 **Published:** 20 April 2026

Citation: Antonis, T. (2026). Basics of Human Memory. Journal of Advanced Neurology and Neuroscience, 1(1), 1-3. DOI: 10.67927/JAdvNeuroNeurosci/2026(1)105.

Abstract

This comprehensive exploration delves into the intricate mechanisms underlying memory processes, focusing on dysfunctions in encoding, storage, and retrieval that disrupt cognitive harmony. It examines various forms of amnesia and memory disorders, highlighting the pervasive nature of forgetfulness and its impact on daily life. Special attention is given to traumatic amnesia and the profound loss of both episodic and routine memories, offering insights into their underlying neurobiological foundations. Through this analysis, the work aims to deepen understanding of memory impairments and foster advancements in diagnosis and therapeutic interventions for these complex cognitive conditions.

Keywords: Memory Storage, Memory Loss, Memory Disorders, Post-Traumatic Amnesia.

Introduction

Storage – Retrieval of Information from Memory – Encoding Dysfunction

Memory, in its essence as a cognitive function, inherently diminishes with the natural wear and tear of physiological aging. However, acquired conditions also exist that adversely influence its operational capacity, resulting in the disruption of its various stages. Such damage, particularly within the structures of the hemisphere responsible for these processes, impairs comprehension, organization, and categorization of the material to be memorized. Patients suffering from lesions in these neural regions often exhibit difficulties in encoding information, as they fail to sufficiently process and store the material. Diagnoses commonly associated with encoding deficits include Korsakoff's syndrome and bilateral thalamic infarctions.

During the storage phase, the hippocampus and the bilateral structures of the medial temporal lobes play a crucial role. Damage to these regions manifests as challenges in the consolidation of memories. Individuals with such injuries are capable of accurately analyzing information—that is, they can encode data successfully—but struggle to retain it within long-term storage. Their long-term memory appears deficient, and information retention deteriorates further upon repeated exposure. These patients exhibit a pathologically rapid decay of stored data. Conditions linked to damage in the medial temporal lobes include cerebral injury due to anoxia, herpetic encephalitis, and early Alzheimer's disease. Additionally, individuals undergoing electroconvulsive therapy may temporarily experience difficulties with memory retention.

The retrieval phase is closely associated with the involvement of the frontal lobe, which contributes significantly to mnemonic processes. These frontal structures are implicated in developing retrieval strategies, sequencing memories, self-monitoring, and initiating recall. Consequently, individuals with lesions in these regions often show impaired ability to efficiently retrieve stored information, making them susceptible to specific mnemonic errors, such as distortions and confabulations. They may also exhibit poor source memory and confuse the origins of their learned material. Such individuals can recall events but struggle to identify the temporal context in which the information was acquired.

In summary, the nature of memory loss is contingent upon the type, extent, and location of the injury [1].

Memory Loss

Normal forgetfulness constitutes the loss or diminished accessibility of information, which may pertain to recent memories as well as to those stored in the distant past. The rate at which this natural amnesia occurs varies considerably, influenced by psychological factors such as the personal significance of the mnemonic material, the fundamental style, age-related differences, and potentially developmental variations. Distinct from pathological amnesic conditions, typical forgetfulness does not impair the individual's capacity to access or record substantial portions of their personal memories. According to Theofilidis, amnesia involves an inability to retrieve or even retain significant segments of one's autobiographical recollections [2]. The underlying processes responsible for normal forgetfulness remain incompletely understood. Freudian theory posits that nothing is truly lost from memory; rather, the problem resides in inadequate or repressed retrieval processes. Perhaps the most significant of these is autonomous degeneration driven by physiological and metabolic processes, leading to the gradual

deterioration of synaptic connections. Moreover, Fuster notes that initial memory retention failures may contribute to certain cases of amnesia. This phenomenon becomes evident in clinical scenarios where attentional processes are so severely disrupted that transient stimuli—whether during conversation or as incidental events—are scarcely attended to, poorly stored, or not stored at all.

Memory Disorders

When the processes of encoding or storage are disrupted by illness or injury, the ability to retrieve new information or recall past memories can vary from minimal to entirely absent. The nature of these deficits is largely determined by the location of the damage, as memory impairment may result from injury to different regions of the brain. Transient disturbances of these processes, frequently observed in cases of traumatic brain injury or during electroconvulsive therapy for psychiatric conditions, temporarily impair memory during the period of disruption. Complete loss of these capabilities results in an absolute amnesia, leaving a void in memory from the onset of the disturbance. Anterograde amnesia refers to an individual's inability or diminished capacity to remember events occurring after the onset of the condition. Individuals suffering from anterograde amnesia are incapable of learning new information and exhibit impaired recent memory. The specific type and severity of the memory deficit vary depending on the nature of the underlying disorder. Retrograde amnesia entails the loss of memories for events predating the brain injury. When retrograde amnesia occurs within the context of neurological disease, the loss of personal history and life events may extend back many years or even decades, often following a temporal gradient whereby more recent memories are more susceptible to loss. In many instances, retrograde amnesia, also known as retrograde memory loss, is temporary [2]. Memories of life events gradually recover up to a period just prior to the incident that caused the brain damage. This phenomenon is widely recognized as a descending retrograde amnesia. A mild form of retrograde amnesia, affecting memories immediately preceding a cerebral trauma, is relatively common. Typically, individuals do not remember events immediately before or during the accident, as this information remains in short-term memory and fails to consolidate into long-term storage [3].

Permanent long-term retrograde amnesia is rare and generally occurs only alongside some degree of anterograde memory impairment. Overall, retrograde amnesia variably affects both episodic and semantic memory. In certain patients with frontal lobe damage, retrograde amnesia may cause difficulties in temporal orientation and source memory, rather than representing a true loss of remote memories. The distinction between anterograde and retrograde amnesia lies in the fact that the neural substrates involved in acquiring new memories and retrieving old ones are distinct [2]. Damage to the hippocampus is implicated in the faulty storage processes characteristic of anterograde amnesia. Retrieval deficits associated with retrograde amnesia have been linked to lesions in the mammillary bodies, thalamus, and interconnected pathways, as well as other subcortical or cortical regions [4].

Multiplicative confabulation represents another example of isolated neurological disturbance—often following frontal lobe injury—where an individual believes in the existence of duplicates of familiar objects or persons. Neuropsychological analyses of cases of multiplicative confabulation suggest that it reflects a combination of perceptual and mnemonic deficits, along with impaired information synthesis [5,6]. Conditions such as retrograde amnesia and multiplicative confabulation serve as reminders to clinicians that memory is not a singular function but an integral component of a highly complex cognitive system [1].

Post-Traumatic Amnesia

Post-traumatic amnesia represents a distinctive form of antegrade amnesia, whereby an individual is unable to recall events in the chronological order in which they occurred. It manifests as a period of confusion or disorientation that ensues following the stuporous phase and is characterized by an impaired capacity for encoding or retrieving information, which progressively deteriorates from day to day or even minute to minute. The duration of post-traumatic amnesia is arguably a more reliable prognostic indicator than the length of the coma itself [7]. However, measuring the exact duration of post-traumatic amnesia presents considerable challenges. Studies vary in their definitions of the onset of PTA and whether it is assessed prospectively or retrospectively [8]. Most medical rehabilitation centers now endeavor to document the length of PTA utilizing standardized assessment methods. The Galveston Orientation and Amnesia Test, the Memory Image Test, and the Westmead Scales are all employed early after significant brain injury to aid in determining the point at which memory begins to recover [9].

Selective Material-Dependent Memory Loss

Memory loss may manifest as either a specific deficit in verbal or non-verbal material. Numerous memory assessments evaluate such deficits by comparing performance across verbal and non-verbal memory tasks. This distinction implies that information encoded linguistically—such as letters, words, names, or paragraphs—is processed and stored separately from data not easily characterized by language, including abstract designs, shapes, melodies, faces, and spatial locations. Individuals with focal impairments are more likely to exhibit material-specific deficits than those with diffuse damage. For instance, damage to the left temporal lobe often results in impaired verbal recall, whereas injury to the right temporal lobe more frequently impairs visual or spatial memory [10]. Due to the design of many memory tests, the diagnosis of material-specific mnemonic deficits can sometimes be overemphasized. Frequently, differences in performance on tests of verbal versus non-verbal memory reflect disparities in the underlying abilities of the individual to analyze linguistic versus non-linguistic information—an issue previously described as an encoding deficit. Nevertheless, careful attention must be paid to assumptions about how patients encode, process, or analyze the information presented to them. For example, a patient might verbally encode a visual image—such as naming an abstract drawing a “spider web.” However, if a patient exhibits particular difficulty in learning or recalling specific verbal or non-verbal information, these factors will significantly influence the direction of subsequent therapy [3,9].

Daily Remembrance

The overarching aim of all rehabilitation programs is to enhance the daily functioning of individuals afflicted by cognitive decline. Consequently, there has been a commendable expansion of memory models to incorporate facets of everyday memory. Among these, prospective memory emerges as the most pragmatic aspect of daily memory. It pertains to the individual's capacity to remember to execute intended actions. Examples of prospective memory include recalling to take medication or making a telephone call. The performance of prospective memory is more closely associated with an individual's everyday functionality than with traditional

recall tests [11]. Dobbs and Reeves (1996) elucidate the cognitive processes intertwined with prospective memory, emphasizing that it is not a singular mnemonic task but a constellation of interrelated processes. They delineate the following interactive components responsible for its function:

1. Metacognition—the awareness of the specific task at hand;
2. Planning—the formulation of sequential steps to facilitate task execution;
3. Monitoring—the periodic reminder that the task must be performed and the assessment of relevant conditions;
4. Content recall—the remembrance of the intended action and the oversight of ongoing activities;
5. Recall of whether the action has been completed.

Another aspect of everyday memory pertains to metamemory, or the individual's understanding of their own mnemonic capabilities. People are aware of their strengths and weaknesses concerning memory and learning, and this awareness significantly influences their behavior. Some individuals experiencing memory difficulties possess impaired metamemory, rendering them unable to recognize the extent or nature of their memory impairment [3]. They may lack the sense of which actions could be beneficial in alleviating their mnemonic challenges and might experience altered perceptions of their own knowledge and awareness [9].

References

1. Sohlberg, M.M., Mateer, C.A. (2004). Cognitive rehabilitation: An integrative neuropsychological approach. New York: Guilford Press.
2. Antonis, T. (2023). The Basic Cognitive Functions. Anna Clin Rev Cas Rep: ACRCR-115.
3. Antonis, T. (2021). Memory, Attention and Language Disorders in Craniocerebral Injury. Dual Diagnosis: Open Access, 6(4), 1-3.
4. Lezak, M.D. (1995). Neuropsychological assessment (3rd ed.). New York: Oxford University Press.
5. Kapur, N. (1993). Focal retrograde amnesia in neurological disease: A critical review. Cortex, 29, 217-234.
6. Schmidtke, K., Vollmer, H. (1997). Retrograde amnesia: A study of its relation to anterograde amnesia and semantic memory deficits. Neuropsychologia, 35(4), 505-518.
7. Levin, H.S., Benton, A L., Grossman, R.G. (1982). Neurobehavioral consequences of closed head injury. New York: Oxford University Press.
8. Ahmed, S., Bierley, R., Sheikh, J.I., Date, E.S. (2000). Post-traumatic amnesia after closed head injury: A review of the literature and some suggestions for further research. Brain Injury, 14(9), 765-780.
9. Sohlberg, M.M. Mateer, C.A. (2001). Cognitive Rehabilitation: An integrative neuropsychological approach. New York: Guilford Press.
10. Milner, B. (1970). Memory and the medial temporal regions of the brain. In K. J. Pribram & D. E. Broadbent (Eds.), Biological bases of memory (pp. 29- 50). New York: Academic Press.
11. Brandimonte, M., Einstein, G.O., McDaniel, M.A. (Eds.). (1996). Prospective memory: Theory and applications. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.